

Letter to the Editor

Response to Craddock et al.

To the Editor:

We share the sense of caution of Craddock et al. [1996] about accepting a single major locus (SML) model of bipolar disorder as being proved, as we explicitly stated in our original paper [Spence et al., 1995]. And we continue to emphasize three points: 1) segregation analysis only identifies the most likely mode of inheritance, given the data, not the *number* of loci segregating, 2) the autosomal-dominant fit to our data does *not* include an estimate of the number of loci which could be responsible for the disease (i.e., possible genetic heterogeneity), and 3) our fit of an SML does *not* account for all of the variation in the sample, and other factors (environmental and/or genetic) must be operative (i.e., possibly oligogenic).

We agree that if K_{MZ} is that much bigger than K_{sib} , then probably more than one locus has to be involved, which is addressed by our point 3 above. In addition, we have some concern about the accuracy of the K_R 's, in particular K_{sib} , and even more particularly K_{MZ} . Studies with twins are subject to reporting bias, inaccurate determination of zygosity, and subjective determination of affected status. These points are discussed in detail in all of the quality reviews of twin data from which the estimates arise [e.g., see Hall, 1996].

Craddock et al. [1996] are, of course, also correct that segregation analysis can reveal only the best-fitting model *among* those models tested, so that is clearly a limitation of our analysis. On the other hand, we were able to allow for age and cohort effects which they did not undertake in their analysis. The analysis by Craddock et al. [1995] is also limited by the fact that they did not include polygenic inheritance as an alternative hypothesis.

However, the more fundamental point stressed by these investigators in their letter seems to be that if indeed multiple loci are involved in the inheritance of bipolar disorder, then affected sib-pair (ASP) methods would be more appropriate than lod score analyses for mapping the loci. We are much less convinced that this is so [Greenberg et al., 1996]. To apply the distinction made by Craddock et al. [1995], if inheritance is two-

locus "oligogenic/multiplicative," then the work of Vieland et al. [1992, 1993] and of Goldin and Weeks [1993] shows that single-locus lod score analyses can very closely approximate the power of full two-locus lod score analyses. If inheritance is "oligogenic/heterogeneous," lod score methods have been developed to allow for heterogeneity, including statistical tests for the proportion of linked families and the corrected estimate of recombination [e.g., see Hodge et al., 1983]. The test by Faraway [1993] for linkage in the presence of heterogeneity has been shown to be quite powerful in this situation. We agree that the power of the lod score method can be reduced when the parameters of the trait model are misspecified, but given the very large sample sizes required for ASP [e.g., see Risch, 1994; Vieland et al., 1992, 1993; Goldin and Weeks, 1993], we are not prepared to ignore lod score methods and focus solely on ASP methods. One can collect families appropriate for lod score methods and analyze them using both parametric lod score tests and nonparametric tests that utilize information from the entire nuclear family, such as the Haseman-Elston (H-E) method or IBD sib-pair linkage [Haseman and Elston, 1972; Blackwelder and Elston, 1985]. This approach may be a reasonable compromise utilizing the strengths of both parametric and "nonparametric" methods in a single study.

The whole discussion of lod scores vs. ASP may soon be moot. Knapp et al. [1994] have already demonstrated that one ASP approach is statistically equivalent to the assumptions of an autosomal-recessive model lod score analysis. And very recently, Whittemore [1996] argued that the nonparametric methods are simply subsets of the same likelihood as the parametric approaches. She interpreted this to mean that "nonparametric" is a misnomer for the affected relative pair test, stating, "Thus, contrary to common parlance this test is not model-free." If it turns out that nonparametric ASP methods are simply less powerful subsets of parametric methods, sharing the same model assumptions, then there should be little to argue in favor of throwing away power.

Hopefully the genetic epidemiology and psychiatry communities will engage in an active dialogue on the merits of parametric (lod score) and nonparametric (APM, ASP, and H-E) analyses in order to better understand the true limitations of each. We are encouraging such a dialogue, but it bears more directly on identifying the actual locus (or loci) than on the interpretation of our segregation analysis.

*Correspondence to: M. Anne Spence, Department of Pediatrics, University of California at Irvine School of Medicine, UCIMC, 101 The City Drive South, P.O. Box 14091, Orange, CA 92868-3298.

Received 30 September 1996; Revised 1 October 1996

REFERENCES

- Blackwelder WC, Elston RD (1985): A comparison of sibpair linkage tests for disease susceptibility loci. *Genet Epidemiol* 7:85-98.
- Craddock N, Khodel V, Van Eerdewegh P, Reich T (1995): Mathematical limits of multilocus models: The genetic transmission of bipolar disorder. *Am J Hum Genet* 57:690-702.
- Craddock N, Van Eerdewegh P, Reich T (1996): Single major locus models for bipolar disorder are implausible. *Am J Med Genet* (this issue).
- Faraway JJ (1993): Distribution of the admixture test for the detection of linkage under heterogeneity. *Genet Epidemiol* 10:75-83.
- Goldin L, Weeks DE (1993): Two-locus models of disease: Comparison of likelihood and nonparametric linkage methods. *Am J Hum Genet* 53: 908-915.
- Greenberg DA, Hodge SE, Vieland VJ, Spence MA (1996) Affecteds-only linkage methods are not a panacea. *Am J Hum Genet* 58:892-895.
- Hall JG (1996): Invited editorial comment: Twins and twinning. *Am J Hum Genet* 61:202-204.
- Haseman JK, Elston RC (1972): The investigation of linkage between a quantitative trait and a marker locus. *Behav Genet* 2:3-19.
- Hodge SE, Anderson CE, Neiswanger K, Sparkes RS, Rimoin DL (1983): The search for heterogeneity in insulin-independent diabetes mellitus (IDDM): Linkage studies, two-locus models, and genetic heterogeneity. *Am J Hum Genet* 35:1139-1155.
- Knapp M, Seuchter SA, Baur MP (1994): Linkage analysis in nuclear families. 2. Relationship between affected sib-pair tests and lod score analysis. *Hum Hered* 45:219-230.
- Risch NJ (1994): Mapping genes for psychiatric disorders. In Gershon ES, Cloniger CR (eds): "Genetic Approaches to Mental Disorders." American Psychopathological Association Series.
- Spence MA, Flodman PL, Sadovnik AD, Bailey-Wilson JE, Ameli H, Remick RA (1995): Bipolar disorder: Evidence for a major locus. *Am J Med Genet* 60:370-376.
- Vieland VJ, Greenberg DA, Hodge SE (1993): Adequacy of single-locus approximations for linkage analyses of oligogenic traits: Extension to multigenerational pedigree structures. *Hum Hered* 43:329-336.
- Vieland VJ, Hodge SE, Greenberg DA (1992): Adequacy of single-locus approximations for linkage analyses of oligogenic traits. *Genet Epidemiol* 9:45-59.
- Whittemore AS (1996): Genome scanning for linkage: An overview. *Am J Hum Genet* 59:704-716.

M. Anne Spence*

P. L. Flodman

Department of Pediatrics
University of California, Irvine
Orange, California

A.D. Sadovnik

H. Ameli

Triad Technology Center
NIH/NCHGR
Baltimore, Maryland

J.E. Bailey-Wilson

Department of Medical Genetics
College of Medicine
University of British Columbia
Vancouver, British Columbia
Canada

R.A. Remick

Department of Psychiatry
St. Paul's Hospital
Vancouver, British Columbia
Canada